Salmonella enteritidis Serotype 50_{1, 2, 3}: z₄, z₂₄:-

MARY M. BALL, WILLIAM JEFFREY MARTIN, MIGUEL KOURANY, AND SAM R. TELFORD, JR.

Enteric Bacteriology Unit, National Communicable Disease Center, Atlanta, Georgia 30333, and Gorgas Memorial Laboratory, Panama, Republic of Panama

Received for publication 19 August 1969

Characteristics of a new Salmonella serotype, subgenus IV, are reported. Culture 5534-68 was recovered from the intestinal tract of Anolis biporcatus, an arboreal lizard found in deep forest tracts in Panama Province, Republic of Panama. The antigenic composition of this new serotype was found to be 50, 2, 4, 2, 1, 2, 2, 1.

The present report deals with the recovery of a new Salmonella serotype. The organism described here, NCDC culture 5534-68, was isolated from a lizard, Anolis biporcatus, which abounds in primary and mature secondary forest throughout Aguacate, a tiny isolated village located at the base of the north slope of Cerro Trinidad in Panamá Province, Republic of Panama, during a current survey of *Enterobacteriaceae* in amphibians and reptiles of this region. The host specimen

Table 1. Biochemical reactions of a new Salmonella serotype

Substrate or test	Reaction	Substrate or test	Reaction
Indole	_	Adonitol	
Methyl red	+	Inositol	<u> </u>
Voges-Proskauer	_	Sorbitol	-11-
Simmons' citrate	+	Arabinose	++
H ₂ S (Triple Sugar Iron agar)	+	Raffinose	10.00
Ureasc	_	Rhamnose	++
KCN	4-	Maltose	++
Motility	+	Trehalose	1.1
Kohn's gelatine	+ (9-11)	Xylose	++
Lysine decarboxylase	+	p-Tartrate ^b	+ (6)
Arginine dihydrolase	+ (3)	L-Tartrateb	- (14)
Ornithine decarboxylase	+ /-/	I-tartrate ⁶	- (14)
Phenylalanine deaminase	_	Cellobiose	+ (7)
Glucose	4.4	Glycerol	+ (4-6)
Lactose		Mucate	
Sucrose	-	Malonate	
Mannitol	4.4	Potassium citrate ^b	+ (2)
Dulcitol	-	ONPG°	3 300
Salicin		Oxidase	_

Symbols: +, positive in 1 to 2 days; ++, acid and gas; -, negative. Figures in parentheses indicate day of incubation on which reaction was observed.

Central and Northern South America. This lizard is completely arboreal and is usually found several meters above the ground rather than in low bushes.

The specimen from which culture 5534-68 was isolated was collected 4 September 1968 at El

¹ Present address: Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minn. 55901. was brought alive to Gorgas Memorial Laboratory. After autopsy, the intestine and its contents were removed aseptically and cultured for enteric bacteria following the procedures described elsewhere (3). After the preliminary biochemical and serological examinations, culture 5534-68 was sent to the National Communicable Disease Center, Atlanta, Ga., for final determination.

b Method of Kaulfmann and Petersen (7),

Method of LeMinor and Ben Hamida (8).

The system of nomenclature used for the genus Salmonella is that proposed by Ewing (4), which is based on the three-species concept suggested by Kauffmann and Edwards (6) and Borman, Stuart, and Wheeler (2). The species Salmonella enteritidis includes all salmonellae other than S, typhi and S. cholera-suis. According to this system of nomenclature, serotypes of S. enteritidis are written as follows: S. enteritidis serotype (ser.) Anatum, S. enteritidis ser. Typhimurium, etc. The infrasubspecific designations are capitalized for reasons of clarity only. As proposed by the International Enterobacteriaceae Subcommittee (5), only serotypes of subgenus I are named, whereas serotypes belonging to subgenera II and IV are designated by their antigenic formulas only.

The organism possessed the usual cultural and biochemical characteristics of Salmonella subgenus IV. This organism grew in KCN medium but failed to ferment salicin, even after prolonged incubation. The biochemical properties charac-

Table 2. Results of sensitivity testing of new Sulmonella serotype

Antimicrohial agent ^a	Amt (µg)	Suscepți bility
Ampicillin	10	S
Furadantin	100	S
Terramycin		R
Streptomycin	10	R
Dihydrostreptomycine	10	R
Penicillin	107	R
Cephalothin	30	S
Kanamyein.	5	R
Erythromycin	2	R
Chloromycetin		S
Gantrisin		R
Nalidixic acid	5	S
Neomycin	30	R
Gentamycin		R
Colymicin	14	S
Cloxacillin	1	R
Aureomycin	30	R
Tetracyline ^a		S
Furoxone		S
Furacine	100	S
Elkosine ^a	300	R
Sulfadiazine ^e	300	R
Sulfamerazine	300	R
Sulfamethoxypyridazine ^e		R
Sulfathiozole ^e	300	R
Thiosulfile	300	R
Triple sulfac		R

Paper discs (BBL) impregnated with indicated concentrations of drugs.

teristic of the salmonellae and distinctive of this new serotype are listed in Table 1,

The O antigens of culture 5534-68 were agglutinated to the titers of S. enteritidis ser. Wassenaar (50_{1,2,3}) and Arizona 9ab O antisera, and, in subsequent absorption tests, all O agglutinins were removed from these antisera. Further, agglutination was obtained when tested in single-factor antisera for factors 50₂ and 50₃, thus characterizing the O antigen as 50_{1,2,3}.

The flagellar antigens of this culture were agglutinated to the titer of H antiserum for S. enteritidis ser. Duesseldorf (z₄, z₂₄). Moreover, these antigens reacted in specific factor z₂₄ antiserum. Absorption experiments removed all H agglutinins from this antiserum. Attempts to show the phase 2 flagellar antigen employing z₄, z₂₄ antiserum in phase reversal semisolid medium were not successful. Thus, the antigenic composition of this serotype was found to be 50_{1,2,3}; z₄; z₂₄; .

Culture 5534-68 was tested for its sensitivity to antimicrobial agents. A standardized paper diseagar plate technique (1) for estimating in vitro susceptibility was employed. Commercially prepared dehydrated antimicrobial paper dises manufactured by BBL, except where indicated (Table 2), were used. The sensitivity of the new serotype to the various antibiotics and agents employed is summarized in Table 2.

In vitro susceptibility was observed in 33% of the agents tested. The organism was resistant to all of the sulfa derivatives examined as well as to a number of broad-spectrum antibiotics used such as Terramycin, Kanamycin, Erythromycin, and Cloxacillin (Table 2). The nitrofurans, Furadantine, Furoxone, and Furacine, were effective against the organism at the concentration tested.

LITERATURE CITED

- Bailey, R. W., and E. G. Scott. 1966. Diagnostic microbiology, p. 261–269. C. V. Mosby Co., St. Louis.
- Borman, E. K., C. A. Stuart, and K. M. Wheeler, 1944, Taxonomy of the family Enterobacteriaceae, J. Bacteriol, 48:351–367.
- Edwards, P. R., and W. H. Ewing. 1962. Identification of Enterobacteriaceae. Burgess Publishing Co., Minneapolis.
- Ewing, W. H. 1963. An outline of nomenclature for the family Enterobacteriaceae. Int. Bull. Bacteriol. Nomencl. Taxon. 13:95–110.
- International Enterobacteriaceae Subcommittee, 1968. Report. Int. Bull, Bacteriol. Nomen. Taxon. 18:192–193.
- Kauffmann, F., and P. R. Edwards. 1952. Classification and nomenclature of Enterobacteriaceae. Int. Bull. Bacteriol. Nomencl. Taxon. 2:2–8.
- Kauffmann, F., and A. Petersen. 1956. The biochemical group and type differentiation of Enterobacteriaceae by organic acids. Acta Pathol. Microbiol. Scand. 38:481–491.
- LeMinor, L., and F. Ben Hamida. 1962. Avantages de la recherche de la β-galactosidase sur celle de la fermentation due lactose en milieu dans le diagnostic bacteriologique, en particulier des Enterobacteriaceae. Ann. Inst. Pasteur 102:267–277.

⁶ S = susceptible; R, resistant.

⁶ Manufactured by Difco.

d Units.

⁶ Milligrams.